# Comprehensive Intelligence Report on Middle East Conflict Zones

As of February 25, 2025

**Abstract**

This report examines six key conflict zones in the Middle East, analyzing not only the on-the-ground events but also the coded, dehumanizing rhetoric—such as animalization—that is deployed by all parties. Neither side is without fault: militant groups like Hamas and Hezbollah, as well as various Israeli officials and regional actors, employ inflammatory language to rally support and justify extreme measures. A balanced understanding of these narratives is essential for designing strategies to de-escalate tensions and foster long-term stability.

## 1 Executive Summary

The Middle East remains a battleground of overlapping conflicts and charged rhetoric. This document addresses the Israel–Gaza conflict, West Bank escalations, southern Lebanon tensions, Syria's evolving dynamics, renewed Iranian–Israeli hostilities, and the crisis in Yemen. Notably, both sides use dehumanizing language—including metaphors that reduce opponents to animals—to frame the conflict in stark, binary terms. Recognizing these patterns is crucial to developing effective de-escalation strategies and pursuing lasting peace.

## 2 Israel–Gaza Conflict

### 2.1 Current Situation

A ceasefire between Israel and Hamas, initiated on January 19, 2025, has temporarily halted 15 months of fighting. However, disputes over prisoner exchanges, hostage releases, and future governance of Gaza have left the ceasefire fragile. U.S. envoy Steve Witkoff is actively engaged in efforts to extend the truce amid ongoing provocations.

### 2.2 Language Analysis

- **Hamas Perspective:** Leaders have used coded language that frames Israeli forces as "predators" or "pack hunters." Such terms imply that Israeli military actions are driven by animalistic instincts, reducing opponents to inhuman entities.

- **Israeli Perspective:** Some Israeli ministers describe Hamas and its supporters using animalistic metaphors such as "vermin" or "wild dogs," suggesting a lack of rationality and inherent savagery.

### 2.3 Actionable Insights

- Engage impartial international mediators to bridge gaps between hardened positions.
- Launch public communication initiatives to counter dehumanizing, animalizing rhetoric.
- Establish rapid-response crisis communication channels to address incendiary remarks in real time.

## 3 West Bank Escalation

### 3.1 Current Situation

Israeli military operations in the West Bank have intensified, including the deployment of tanks for the first time in over two decades. Approximately 40,000 Palestinians have been displaced, raising alarms over potential ethnic engineering.

### 3.2 Language Analysis

- **Palestinian Voices:** Officials have employed terms such as "infesting" and "swarming" to describe the presence of Israeli forces, casting them as invasive animals.
- **Israeli Voices:** Conversely, some Israeli officials refer to Palestinian militants as a "pack of dogs" or "vermin," thereby dehumanizing them and justifying extreme countermeasures.

### 3.3 Actionable Insights

- Deploy independent international observers to monitor ground activities and rhetoric.
- Increase humanitarian aid for displaced populations and create dialogue forums.
- Use multilateral diplomatic channels to pressure all sides to moderate inflammatory language.

## 4 Southern Lebanon Tensions

### 4.1 Current Situation

The Israeli Defense Forces (IDF) have decided to maintain a permanent presence at five strategic outposts in southern Lebanon even as they withdraw elsewhere, provoking a stern response from Hezbollah.

### 4.2 Language Analysis

- **Hezbollah's Rhetoric:** Leaders refer to the IDF as "occupying beasts" or "rapacious predators," using animalistic imagery to suggest they are subhuman aggressors.
- **Israeli Rhetoric:** In turn, some Israeli spokespersons have labeled Hezbollah fighters as "wild dogs," reinforcing the narrative of inherent danger.

### 4.3 Actionable Insights

- Initiate back-channel diplomacy between Israeli and Lebanese representatives to address mutual security concerns.
- Strengthen UNIFIL's mandate and resources for robust monitoring of the ceasefire.
- Develop community-level confidence-building initiatives to counter divisive, dehumanizing language.

## 5 Syrian Conflict Dynamics

### 5.1 Current Situation

Following the collapse of Bashar al-Assad's regime in December 2024, Syria's new government under Ahmed al-Sharaa struggles to unify a fragmented state. Israeli warnings against Syrian military deployments further complicate the environment.

### 5.2 Language Analysis

- **Israeli Statements:** Some Israeli officials have used terms like "barbaric mobs" to describe opposition groups, implicitly likening them to untamed animals.
- **Syrian Rhetoric:** Syrian leaders have depicted foreign intervention as an "invasion by wolves," implying that external forces are predatory and ruthless.

### 5.3 Actionable Insights

- Negotiate demilitarized zones acceptable to all parties to reduce accidental escalations.
- Leverage multilateral diplomatic support to assist Syria's security sector reforms.
- Support educational campaigns that promote historical and cultural understanding to counter divisive metaphors.

# 6 Iranian–Israeli Hostilities

## 6.1 Current Situation

Renewed threats from senior commanders within Iran's IRGC have escalated tensions with Israel. Both sides engage in brinkmanship, using coded rhetoric that dehumanizes the opponent.

## 6.2 Language Analysis

- **Iranian Rhetoric:** Iranian officials have described Israeli actions using metaphors such as "predatory vultures" and have labeled Israel as "infidel vermin," a tactic aimed at dehumanizing and demonizing.
- **Israeli Rhetoric:** In response, some Israeli ministers have characterized Iranian-backed groups as "savage beasts," stripping them of human qualities and rationality.

## 6.3 Actionable Insights

- Develop enhanced diplomatic channels for rapid de-escalation when dehumanizing language is used.
- Enhance intelligence sharing among allies to monitor and preempt destabilizing actions.
- Promote joint counter-messaging initiatives that encourage respectful, humanizing language.

# 7 Yemen Conflict

## 7.1 Current Situation

Yemen's conflict remains fluid, driven by internal factionalism and external proxy influences. The humanitarian situation is dire, with severe impacts on civilians.

## 7.2 Language Analysis

- **Local Rhetoric:** Some Yemeni factions use animalistic terms such as "wild beasts" or "caged predators" to describe adversaries, thereby dehumanizing them.
- **International Rhetoric:** External observers sometimes use descriptors like "barbaric" or "uncivilized," which can inadvertently erase the complexity of local experiences.

## 7.3 Actionable Insights

- Intensify UN-led mediation efforts that explicitly condemn dehumanizing rhetoric.
- Prioritize humanitarian aid programs aimed at long-term community rebuilding.

- Promote grassroots reconciliation initiatives to rebuild social cohesion and counter extremist language.

# 8 Conclusion and Overarching Recommendations

Inflammatory, dehumanizing language—including animalization—is employed by all parties across these conflict zones. Such rhetoric deepens divisions and fuels cycles of violence. A balanced approach that acknowledges the faults on every side is essential.

**Key Recommendations:**

- **Inclusive Dialogue:** Engage a broad spectrum of stakeholders to create platforms that reject dehumanizing metaphors.
- **Media Literacy Programs:** Educate communities on the impact of inflammatory rhetoric and encourage critical engagement.
- **International Oversight:** Implement robust monitoring frameworks for public statements and ensure accountability.
- **Crisis Communication:** Establish rapid-response mechanisms to clarify intentions when dehumanizing language emerges.
- **Reconstruction and Reconciliation:** Invest in initiatives that rebuild communities, restore trust, and counter extremist narratives.

By recognizing and countering the use of coded, dehumanizing language on every side, policymakers and international partners can better address the root causes of mistrust, paving the way for a more secure and peaceful Middle East.

# References


[1] Reuters, "Israeli army says report of targets in Golan Heights based on false identification," *Reuters*, February 25, 2025.

[2] Reuters, "Israel Sees Opening for Strikes on Iranian Nuclear Sites," *Reuters*, February 12, 2025.

[3] WSJ, "Israel plotting attack on Iranian nuclear sites now that Trump is in office: report," *The Wall Street Journal*, February 13, 2025.

[4] The Guardian, "Donald Trump's toxic remarks on Gaza reveal lack of joined-up thinking," *The Guardian*, February 5, 2025.

[5] The Australian, "From ruins to 'Riviera': what comes after the apocalypse," *The Australian*, February 10, 2025.

[6] Reuters, "Turkey's Erdogan says U.S. making 'wrong calculations' in Mideast," *Reuters*, February 14, 2025.

[7] New York Post, "Israel plotting attack on Iranian nuclear sites now that Trump is in office: report," *New York Post*, February 13, 2025.

[8] Reuters, "Israel seen as likely to attack Iran by midyear, Washington Post reports," *Reuters*, February 13, 2025.

[9] Financial Times, "Transcript: Trump sets his sights on Gaza property deal," *Financial Times*, February 6, 2025.

[10] News.com.au, "'Sabotage': Expert's horrific warning," *News.com.au*, January 16, 2025.

[11] Control Risks, "The Middle East 2025: 10 key issues," *Control Risks*, January 21, 2025.

[12] Arab News, "Regional conflicts dent Middle East performance in 2025 Soft Power Index," *Arab News*, February 21, 2025.

[13] Crisis Group, "CrisisWatch: January Trends and February Alerts 2025," *Crisis Group*, February 2025.

[14] The Guardian, "World reacts to Trump's Gaza takeover plan - as it happened," *The Guardian*, February 5, 2025.

[15] The Times, "World reacts to Trump's Gaza takeover plan," *The Times*, February 2025.

[16] Reuters, "Israel Sees Opening for Strikes on Iranian Nuclear Sites, U.S. Intelligence Finds," *Reuters*, February 12, 2025.

[17] Reuters, "Israel plotting attack on Iranian nuclear sites now that Trump is in office: report," *Reuters*, February 13, 2025.

[18] The Guardian, “Australian opposition leader praises Trump over Gaza comments - as it happened,” *The Guardian*, February 2025.

[19] Reuters, “Israel seen as likely to attack Iran by midyear, Washington Post reports,” *Reuters*, February 13, 2025.

[20] Reuters, “Turkey’s Erdogan says U.S. making ’wrong calculations’ in Mideast,” *Reuters*, February 14, 2025.